

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/027,686	12/21/2001	Peter A. Tenereillo	3239P099	2082
8791	7590 02/23/2006		EXAM	INER
	SOKOLOFF TAYLO	BATES, KEVIN T		
SEVENTH F	HIRE BOULEVARD LOOR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030			2155	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/027,686	TENEREILLO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin Bates	2155			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timular unit apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Not</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11-17-05.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2155

Response to Amendment

This Office Action is in response to a communication made on November 9, 2005.

The Information Disclosure Statement received November 17, 2005 have been received and considered.

Claims 1, 7, and 13 have been amended.

Claims 1-20 are currently pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shah (6292832) in view of Still (6718390), and in further view of Beckerman (6029200).

Regarding claims 1 and 7, Shah discloses a method comprising: retrieving data by one of a plurality of personal content directors each associated with a separate local domain (Figure 5, element 506, 510, 514, and 518; Column 8, lines 7 – 9; lines 18 – 21), (Column 8, lines 1 – 6); and determining a most proximate local domain for a client based on subsequent accesses to download data accessible through the absolute links (Column 8, lines 1 – 6).

Art Unit: 2155

Shah does not explicitly indicate that the data includes a plurality of relative links; translating the plurality of relative links by the one of the plurality of personal content directors into a corresponding plurality absolute links that collectively point to the local domains associated with the plurality of personal content directors, each absolute link being a superset of a corresponding relative link by further including a domain name and translating the relative links to point to at least two of the content directors.

Still discloses a system for redirecting client requests to a more optimal server, which discloses retrieving data and if that data has a plurality of relative links (Column 4, lines 58 - 60), translating the plurality of relative links into a corresponding plurality absolute links that collectively point to the local domains (Column 4, lines 60 - 63) by one of the content directors (Column 2, lines 23 - 31) and that each absolute link being a superset of a corresponding relative link by further including a domain name (Column 8, lines 11 - 15).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Still's teaching in Shah's redirector system in order to manage which server the user interacts with, while the client can operate like its communicating with just one server (Column 3, lines 27 - 30).

Beckerman teaches a system that takes a hyperlink and responds with absolute links in the form of a reference file (Column 4, line 63 – Column 5, line 13), that file contains a plurality of absolute links which correspond to one or more servers that contain the same resource (Figure 5, element 62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Beckerman's teaching to provide a plurality of links corresponding to the same resource located on more than one server in order to allow the server issue more than one possible connection link in case of connection problems, while maintaining a preferred connection order.

Regarding claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Shah discloses that determining of the most proximate local domain, comprises transmitting data the client; measuring return trip time values by the plurality of personal content directors during the downloading of the data accessible through the absolute links (Column 9, lines 47 – 55); and reporting the return trip time values to the one of the plurality of personal content directors (Column 9, lines 56 – 65).

Regarding claim 3, which depends on claim 2, Shah discloses that prior to reporting the return trip time trip values, the method further comprising: storing the return trip time values client network cache each the plurality of personal content directors (Column 10, lines 43 – 51, if the devices calculate the RTT, then they have to have memory to cache while its calculating and being reported).

Regarding claim 4, which depends on claim 1, Shah discloses that the method further comprises: initiating a HTTP GET request by the client; and routing the HTTP GET request to the one of the plurality personal content directors (Column 7, lines 59 – 65; Column 8, lines 31 – 35).

Art Unit: 2155

Regarding claim 5, which depends on claim 4, Shah discloses that the routing of the HTTP GET request is conducted a domain name server (Column 7, lines 59 – 65).

Regarding claim 8, which depends on claim 7, Shah discloses that the memory includes a client network cache to store an Internet Protocol address and subsequently measured return trip time (RTT) values for each local domain (Column 9, lines 56 – 65).

Regarding claim 9, which depends on claim 7, Shah discloses that the processor measures a return trip time (RTT) value experienced during a downloading of data associated with an absolute link pointing the local domain (Column 9, lines 47 – 55).

Regarding claim 10, which depends on claim 9, Shah discloses that the processor further transmits the measured RTT value to a synchronizing personal content director (Column 9, lines 56 – 65).

Regarding claim 11, which depends on claim 9, Shah discloses that the processor further receives at least one measured RTT value from another remotely located personal content director during a communication session (Column 9, lines 56 – 65).

Regarding claim 13, Shah discloses a network comprising: client to transmit a request for retrieval web page (Column 7, lines 59 – 65); and least two personal content directors (PCDs) capable of being in communication with the client (Figure 5, element 506, 510, 514, and 518; Column 8, lines 7 – 9; lines 18 – 21), first PCD of the at least two PCDS retrieve the web page and measure a return trip time (RTT) value for

Art Unit: 2155

handling a request to download data accessed by the absolute link directed to the local domain associated with the first PCD (Column 9, lines 47 – 55).

Shah does not explicitly indicate that the data includes a plurality of relative links; translating the plurality of relative links associated with the web page into a corresponding plurality absolute links that collectively point to the local domains associated with the plurality of personal content directors and translating the relative links to point to at least two of the content directors.

Still discloses a system for redirecting client requests to a more optimal server, which discloses retrieving data and if that data has a plurality of relative links (Column 4, lines 58 – 60), translating the plurality of relative links <u>associated with the web page</u> into a corresponding plurality absolute links that collectively point to the local domains (Column 4, lines 60 – 63).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Still's teaching in Shah's redirector system in order to manage which server the user interacts with, while the client can operate like its communicating with just one server (Column 3, lines 27 – 30).

Beckerman teaches a system that takes a hyperlink and responds with absolute links in the form of a reference file (Column 4, line 63 – Column 5, line 13), that file contains a plurality of absolute links which correspond to one or more servers that contain the same resource (Figure 5, element 62).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Beckerman's teaching to provide a plurality of links

Art Unit: 2155

corresponding to the same resource located on more than one server in order to allow the server issue more than one possible connection link in case of connection problems, while maintaining a preferred connection order.

Regarding claim 14, which depends on claim 13, Shah discloses that the system further measures a RTT value for handling a request to download data accessed by the absolute link directed to the local domain associated with the second PCD (Column 9, lines 56 – 65).

Regarding claim 16, which depends on claim 13, Shah discloses a domain name server to receive an initial request for retrieval of the web page and to route the initial request the first PCD operating as a synchronizing PCD (Column 7, line 59 – Column 8, line 6).

Regarding claim 17, which depends on claim 13, Shah discloses that the second PCD of the at least two PCDS transmits the value measured by the second PCD to the first PCD (Column 9, lines 56 – 65).

Regarding claim 18, which depends on claim 17, Shah discloses that the first PCD determines a most proximate local domain to the client by comparing the RTT values measured by the at least two PCDS and selected the most proximate local domain being the local domain associated with one of the at least two PCDS measuring a RTT value with the shortest duration (Column 10, lines 43 – 51).

Regarding claim 19, which depends on claim 13, Shah does not explicitly indicate that that each the plurality of absolute links translated the first PCD are tagged links including a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) tag.

Still discloses that each the plurality of absolute links translated the first PCD are tagged links including a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) tag (Still, Column 5, lines 31 – 36).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Still's teaching in Shah's redirector system in order to manage which server the user interacts with, while the client can operate like its communicating with just one server (Column 3, lines 27 - 30).

Regarding claim 20, which depends on claim 19, Shah discloses that a switching device coupled to the at least two PCDS and communication with the client, the switching device to detect the URL tag within the request to indicate that the request is intended for measuring the RTT value (Shah, Column 7, line 59 – Column 8, line 6).

Regarding claims 6, 12, and 15, which depends from claims 2, 9, and 13 respectively, Shah discloses that the measuring of the return trip time values by a first personal content director of the plurality of personal content directors includes computing a time difference between arrival HTTP GET request associated with a subsequent access to the first personal content director and arrival of a final acknowledgement packet at the first personal content director (Column 11, lines 23 – 29; it takes the http get request, forwards it to the local DRP, which then use the GET request to get the client location, and measure the RTT).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2155

Conclusion

Page 9

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kevin Bates whose telephone number is (571) 272-

3980. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Saleh Najjar can be reached on (571) 272-4006. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

KD

KB

February 16, 2006

SALEH NAJJAR

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER